

References:

1. Ustawa z dnia 29 sierpnia 1997 r. o ochronie danych osobowych. Dz. U. 1997 Nr 133, poz. 883, <http://isip.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=W-DU19971330883>.
2. Czempik P, Cieśla D, Knapik P, et al. Outcomes of patients with acute kidney injury with regard to time of initiation and modality of renal replacement therapy - first data from the Silesian Registry of Intensive Care Units. *Kardiochir Torakochirurgia Pol.* 2016; 13(2): 122–129, doi: [10.5114/kitp.2016.61045](https://doi.org/10.5114/kitp.2016.61045), indexed in Pubmed: [27516784](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27516784/).
3. Czempik P, Cieśla D, Knapik P, et al. Risk factors of acute kidney injury requiring renal replacement therapy based on regional registry data. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2016; 48(3): 185–190, doi: [10.5603/AIT.a2016.0033](https://doi.org/10.5603/AIT.a2016.0033), indexed in Pubmed: [27444872](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27444872/).
4. Maciejewski T, Maciejewski D, Rychlik W, et al. Pacjenci z grypą pandemiczną na śląskich oddziałach intensywnej terapii — analiza danych ze Śląskiego Rejestru Oddziałów Intensywnej Terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 45.
5. Tomala A, Moczala A, Czekał M, et al. Pacjent w trakcie tlenoterapii domowej na OIT — analiza danych ze Śląskiego Rejestru Oddziałów Intensywnej Terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 47.
6. Niewiński G. Prognozowanie śmiertelności na oddziałach intensywnej terapii na podstawie skali APACHE. *Anestezjologia Intensywna Terapi.* 2014; 46(1): 46–49, doi: [10.5603/ait.2014.0010](https://doi.org/10.5603/ait.2014.0010).
7. Gierek D, Cyzowski T, Jasiński P, et al. Co wiemy o pacjentach przyjmowanych na śląskie oddziały intensywnej terapii (OIT) z powodu ciężkiej sepsy? *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 74.
8. Rychlik W, Grzegorzewska M, Polak M, et al. Wpływ zastosowania hipotermii terapeutycznej na wyniki leczenia chorych po nagłym zatrzymaniu krążenia w materiale Śląskiego Rejestru Oddziałów Intensywnej Terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 57.
9. Rutkowska K, Misiołek H, Rychlik W, et al. Pacjent w programie dializ hospitalizowany na OIT — analiza danych ze Śląskiego Rejestru Oddziałów Intensywnej Terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 68.
10. Duda I, Musioł E, Misiewska-Kaczur A, et al. Wpływ kacheksji na przebieg i wyniki leczenia w oddziale intensywnej terapii — analiza danych ze Śląskiego Rejestru Oddziałów Intensywnej Terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 53.
11. Misiewska-Kaczur A, Jasiński P, Duda I, et al. Wpływ skrajnej otyłości na przebieg i wyniki leczenia w oddziale intensywnej terapii — analiza danych ze Śląskiego Rejestru Oddziałów Intensywnej Terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 54.
12. Jura-Piecha E, Noras J, Kandziora W, et al. Epidemiologia i wyniki leczenia ostrych zatruc w oddziałach intensywnej terapii na terenie województwa śląskiego. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 63.
13. Saucha W, Piontek M, Krawczyk L, et al. Jak często stwierdzamy śmierć mózgu na śląskich oddziałach intensywnej terapii? *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 59.
14. Kotula K, Jasiński P, Moczala A, et al. Analiza populacji pacjentów z zespołem zależności alkoholowej hospitalizowanych w śląskich oddziałach intensywnej terapii. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 63.
15. Tłustołowicz A, Rychlik W, Misiewska-Kaczur A, et al. Skąd przyjmujemy i dokąd wypisujemy pacjentów, którzy opuszczają OIT z głębokim uszkodzeniem neurologicznym? *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014; 46(Suppl. 1): 56.
16. Opinia Departamentu Prawnego Ministerstwa Zdrowia z dnia 21.10.2016. Sygn. PRP024.27.2016.2.WL.

Corresponding author:

Piotr F. Czempik
Department of Anaesthesiology
and Intensive Care
School of Medicine in Katowice
Medical University of Silesia
Medyków 14, 40–752 Katowice, Poland
e-mail: piotr.czempik@wp.pl

Anaesthesiology Intensive Therapy
2017, vol. 49, no 1, 75–76
ISSN 1642–5758
10.5603/AIT.2017.0012
www.ait.viamedica.pl

Commentary to the article “Neuromuscular blockade in the elderly”

Paweł Twardowski, Michał Domżałski

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Therapy,
Medical University of Gdansk, Poland

Sir,

With great interest, we read the review paper entitled “Neuromuscular blockade in the elderly” written by Dr. Michał Stankiewicz-Rudnicki, published in issue 4/2016 of *Anaesthesiology Intensive Therapy* [1].

The selection of medication for the patient stems from — among others — the patient’s age and limitation of organ function associated with it, affecting drug metabolism and excretion. Despite this, the selection of neuromuscular blocking agent often results from the necessity to use an agent with the shortest possible time until achieving maximum blockade. Having considered its numerous side effects, succinylcholine’s position — as a drug of choice during rapid induction of anaesthesia — is becoming weaker, with its place being replaced by rocuronium [2, 3]. The use of rocuronium

in elderly people is associated with a significantly extended duration of the neuromuscular blockade [4, 5]. The author noticed it is a neuromuscular blocking agent that is currently the most often used in the world. In this context, it is surprising that the author did not express his opinion regarding an agent which could significantly reduce the risk of residual neuromuscular transmission blockade (postoperative residual curarisation — PORC), namely sugammadex. Potentially, this is a neuromuscular blockade reversing agent which could entirely eliminate the incidence of this phenomenon [6].

Therefore, we would like to supplement this publication with a few remarks related to sugammadex use in the elderly. In 2011, in an issue of the journal *Anesthesiology*, McDonagh *et al.* [7] assessed sugammadex’s efficiency and safety in terms of reversing the neuromuscular blockade in a group of patients above 65 years of age. The study included 150 patients, of whom 62 were between 65 and 74 years of age, and 40 were 75 years old or older. The biggest difference the authors managed to observe was the extension of the muscle strength return period (expressed as an increase in the TOF (train of four) rate above 0.9), by 0.7 minute in the group of patients older than 65. This result was attributed to decreased circulatory system dynamics, and the resulting

delay in drug distribution present in elderly people. Similar conclusions were reached by Suzuki *et al.* [8] who compared the return period for muscle strength after using sugammadex in patients between 20 and 50 years of age, as well as those older than 70, and Turkish authors who assessed the effect of age on muscle strength return after using sugammadex in patients between 65 and 74, as well as those older than 75 years of age [9]. In all of the cited articles, age did not affect the presence of side effects, while the medication was considered to be well-tolerated. It is interesting that none of the authors found it necessary to adjust the sugammadex dosage to their patients' age. However, the authors of a recently published article in "Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology" had a different position, as they assessed the efficiency of sugammadex depending on the administered dose and patients' age. They reached a conclusion that in cases of a necessity to reverse a deep neuromuscular blockade in elderly people, it is necessary to increase its dose by 1 mg kg⁻¹ of body mass, compared to the dosage suggested by the drug's manufacturer [10]. Another aspect of sugammadex use in elderly people worth stressing includes its potential effect on the occurrence of postoperative cognitive impairment and confusion. Avoiding administering agents affecting acetylcholinergic transmission in the brain is associated with the theoretical possibility of reducing this complication's incidence. Unfortunately, at the moment there is no data which could prove such effect, while the available related knowledge is scarce [11, 12]. Lastly, we would like to stress two crucial aspects of sugammadex use, regardless of patients' age. Firstly, its use cannot mean that an anaesthesiologist is free to abandon in-depth and objective assessment (resulting from monitoring) of neuromuscular blockade depth as the lack of this can result in an unexpectedly high incidence of PORC [13]. Secondly, despite the temptation to do so resulting from the high price of this drug, one should avoid administering it at doses lower than recommended, as this poses a risk of experiencing a time-delayed residual neuromuscular transmission block [14].

In conclusion, sugammadex, when one both maintains appropriate monitoring and remembers the rules of using it in the elderly, may be considered as a proven and safe medication, allowing one to reduce the risk of developing PORC and its consequences in patients in this age group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1. Source of funding: none.
2. Conflict of interest: none.

References:

1. Stankiewicz-Rudnicki M. Neuromuscular blockade in the elderly. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2016; 48(4): 257–260, doi: [10.5603/AIT.2016.0045](https://doi.org/10.5603/AIT.2016.0045), indexed in Pubmed: [27797097](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27797097/).
2. Sajayan A, Wicker J, Ungureanu N, et al. Current practice of rapid sequence induction of anaesthesia in the UK - a national survey. *Br J Anaesth.* 2016; 117 Suppl 1: i69–i74, doi: [10.1093/bja/aew017](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aew017), indexed in Pubmed: [26917599](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26917599/).
3. Della Rocca G, Di Marco P, Beretta L, et al. Do we need to use sugammadex at the end of a general anesthesia to reverse the action of neuromuscular blocking agents? Position Paper on Sugammadex use. *Minerva Anestesiol.* 2013; 79(6): 661–666, indexed in Pubmed: [23192221](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23192221/).
4. Matteo RS, Ornstein E, Schwartz AE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rocuronium (Org 9426) in elderly surgical patients. *Anesth Analg.* 1993; 77(6): 1193–1197, indexed in Pubmed: [8250312](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8250312/).
5. Varriquee RM, Lauretti GR, Matsumoto JA, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rocuronium in young adult and elderly patients undergoing elective surgery. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 2016; 68(11): 1351–1358, doi: [10.1111/jphp.12617](https://doi.org/10.1111/jphp.12617), indexed in Pubmed: [27545305](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27545305/).
6. Brueckmann B, Sasaki N, Grobara P, et al. Effects of sugammadex on incidence of postoperative residual neuromuscular blockade: a randomized, controlled study. *Br J Anaesth.* 2015; 115(5): 743–751, doi: [10.1093/bja/aev104](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aev104), indexed in Pubmed: [25935840](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25935840/).
7. McDonagh DL, Benedict PE, Kovac AL, et al. Efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of sugammadex for the reversal of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade in elderly patients. *Anesthesiology.* 2011; 114(2): 318–329, doi: [10.1097/ALN.0b013e3182065c36](https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e3182065c36), indexed in Pubmed: [21239968](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21239968/).
8. Suzuki T, Kitajima O, Ueda K, et al. Reversibility of rocuronium-induced profound neuromuscular block with sugammadex in younger and older patients. *Br J Anaesth.* 2011; 106(6): 823–826, doi: [10.1093/bja/aer098](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aer098), indexed in Pubmed: [21531745](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21531745/).
9. Yazar E, Yilmaz C, Bilgin H, et al. A Comparison of the Effect of Sugammadex on the Recovery Period and Postoperative Residual Block in Young Elderly and Middle-Aged Elderly Patients. *Balkan Med J.* 2016; 33(2): 181–187, doi: [10.5152/balkanmedj.2016.16383](https://doi.org/10.5152/balkanmedj.2016.16383), indexed in Pubmed: [27403387](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27403387/).
10. Shin S, Han DW, Lee HS, et al. Elderly Patients Require Higher Doses of Sugammadex for Rapid Recovery from Deep Neuromuscular Block. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2016; 118(6): 462–467, doi: [10.1111/bcpt.12507](https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.12507), indexed in Pubmed: [26505986](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26505986/).
11. Oh CS, Rhee KaY, Yoon TG, et al. Postoperative Delirium in Elderly Patients Undergoing Hip Fracture Surgery in the Sugammadex Era: A Retrospective Study. *Biomed Res Int.* 2016; 2016: 1054597, doi: [10.1155/2016/1054597](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1054597), indexed in Pubmed: [26998480](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26998480/).
12. Siddiqi N, Harrison JK, Clegg A, et al. Interventions for preventing delirium in hospitalised non-ICU patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016; 3: CD005563, doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD005563.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005563.pub3), indexed in Pubmed: [26967259](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26967259/).
13. Kotake Y, Ochiai R, Suzuki T, et al. Reversal with sugammadex in the absence of monitoring did not preclude residual neuromuscular block. *Anesth Analg.* 2013; 117(2): 345–351, doi: [10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182999672](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182999672), indexed in Pubmed: [23757472](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23757472/).
14. Iwasaki H, Takahoko K, Otomo S, et al. A temporary decrease in twitch response following reversal of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block with a small dose of sugammadex in a pediatric patient. *J Anesth.* 2014; 28(2): 288–290, doi: [10.1007/s00540-013-1688-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-013-1688-3), indexed in Pubmed: [23963464](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23963464/).

Corresponding author:

Paweł Twardowski
 Department of Anesthesiology
 and Intensive Therapy
 Medical University of Gdansk, Poland
 e-mail: p.twardowski@gumed.edu.pl